



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/597,664	03/21/2008	Birgit M. Pfitzmann	CH920030025US1	4647
48813	7590	08/04/2011	EXAMINER	
LAW OFFICE OF IDO TUCHMAN (YOR)			SHEHNI, GHAZAL B	
82-70 Beverly Road			ART UNIT	
Kew Gardens, NY 11415			PAPER NUMBER	
			2436	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	
			DELIVERY MODE	
			08/04/2011	
			ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

pair@tuchmanlaw.com

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/597,664	Applicant(s) PFITZMANN ET AL.	
	Examiner GHAZAL SHEHNI	Art Unit 2436	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 April 2011.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 4-32 and 34 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 4-32 and 34 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

In view of the Appeal Brief filed on 04/25/2011, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. Claims 1, 4-32 and 34 are pending as set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

(1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,

(2) initiate a new appeal by filing a notice of appeal under 37 CFR 41.31 followed by an appeal brief under 37 CFR 41.37. The previously paid notice of appeal fee and appeal brief fee can be applied to the new appeal. If, however, the appeal fees set forth in 37 CFR 41.20 have been increased since they were previously paid, then appellant must pay the difference between the increased fees and the amount previously paid.

A Supervisory Patent Examiner (SPE) has approved of reopening prosecution by signing below:

/Nasser Moazzami/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2436

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 04/25/2011 have been fully considered but they are moot in view of new ground of rejections.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1, 4-11, 12, 13-20, 21-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Claims 1, 12, 21 and 23 are directed to a system being configured to perform some functions. To one of ordinary skill in the art all the functions cited in these claims may be reasonably implemented as software routines. When interpreted broadly as software routines, these claims do not cite any claim elements for performing the functions wherein the claimed elements of the apparatus or device are limited to a machine or a physical part of a device within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. 101. Therefore claims 1, 12, 21, and 23 are directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Claims 4-11, 13-20, 22, and 24 are rejected since they do not cure the deficiency of claims 1, 12, 21, and 23 to make the claim statutory.

Claims 25-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Claims 25-28 recite “**a computer program product stored on a computer readable medium.....**”. It appears that the medium recited in the claims 25-28 is not described in the specification as including a tangible medium in a manner which enables it to act as

Art Unit: 2436

a computer component to realize the computer program's functionality. In the specification, the medium recited in the claim is including electronic transmission, see page 11 line 24. Therefore, when the claim is interpreted broadly as transmission medium or signal, the claim appear to be non-statutory because it is not tangibly embodied in a manner so as to be. ***Examiner suggests to Applicant to amend the claim to include "...at least one nontransitory processor readable medium..." in the claim language.***

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 4-8, 10-18, 20-32 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bergler et al (pub. No. US 2002/0194010) in view of Kells et al (Pat. No. US 5764887).

As to Claim 1 Bergler discloses a software license management system/**computer program/ method** in which a license to use a software product is represented by a data token (**license**)(page 2 [0020] line 5) the system/method comprising:

a **software controller (see terminal server)(page 2 [0020] line 4) at the user device** (see par. [0038]) for controlling use of a software product (**see fig2. element 228 also see software code page 1 [0008] line 4 and program modules include programs,**

Art Unit: 2436

page 4 [0045] lines 4-5 and fig.2 element 230 and “software product” page 1 [0005] lines 3-4) at the user device wherein the software controller is adapted for:

- allowing said use of the software product at the user device substantially only during a use period (**expiration date, page 2 [0020] line 11**) associated with a current data token supplied to the software controller by the license management server (**e.g. terminal sever makes a license request to the license server page 2 and 3 [0022] lines 10-11 also see page 2 [0020] “expiration date” lines 11-13**)

- enabling user access to an exchange token, dependent on the current data token (**see client’s expired or “same” permanent license, page 9 [0086] lines 8-9**) supplied by the license management server, whereby the exchange token (**see update “same” license, page 9 [0086] line 14**) can be supplied as a current data token to another said software controller (**see page 9 [0084] lines 8-22, also see [0086] lines 1-16**) and

- supplying one of the current data token (**see “same” permanent license page 9 [0087] line 2**) and the exchange token via the network to the license management server to be exchanged for a new data token (**new license, page 9 [0087] line 5**) to replace the current data token (a) to extend the license for the software product beyond the use period (**see new expiration date, page 9 [0087] lines 5-6**) associated with a current data token supplied by the license management server and (b) if the current data token is an exchange token from another said software controller (**see page 9 [0087] lines 15-23**).

Bergler does not explicitly disclose an exchange token to be exchanged for a new data token to replace the current data token if the current data token is an exchange token from another said software controller.

However Kells discloses **an exchange token to be exchanged for a new data token to replace the current data token if the current data token is an exchange token from another said software controller** (after a session is set up, the credential manager will determine what the remaining lifetime of a ticket is. Just before expiration, after making such determination, the credential manager will thus preferably obtain a new token and transfer it to the server, see col.7 lines 55-63).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Kells in Bergler for including the above limitation because one ordinary skill in the art would recognize it would make it more user-friendly for the subscribers.

As to Claims **22, 30** Bergler discloses a software license management system/**computer program/ method** in which a license to use a software product is represented by a data token (**license**)(**page 2 [0020] line 5**) the system/method comprising:

Art Unit: 2436

a **software controller/Control Logic/ program code** (see terminal server)(page 2 [0020] line 4) for controlling use of a software product (see fig.2. element 228 also see **software code page 1 [0008] line 4 and program modules include programs, page 4 [0045] lines 4-5 and fig.2 element 230 and “software product” page 1 [0005] lines 3-4**) at a user device and a license management server (see **license sever**)(page 2 [0020] line 5) for communicating with the software controller via a data communications network ;

wherein the **software controller/Control Logic/program code** (means) is adapted for (to):

- allowing said use of the software product substantially only during a use period (**expiration date, page 2 [0020] line 11**) associated with a current data token supplied to the software controller by the license management server (**e.g. terminal sever makes a license request to the license server page 2 and 3 [0022] lines 10-11 also see page 2 [0020] “expiration date” lines 11-13**)
- enabling user access to an exchange token, dependent on the current data token (see **client’s expired or “same” permanent license, page 9 [0086] lines 8-9**) supplied by the license management server, whereby the exchange token (see **update “same” license, page 9 [0086] line 14**) can be supplied as a current data token to another said software controller (see **page 9 [0084] lines 8-22, also see [0086] lines 1-16**) and
- supplying one of the current data token (see **“same” permanent license page 9 [0087] line 2**) and the exchange token via the network to the license management server to be exchanged for a new data token (**new license, page 9 [0087] line 5**) to replace the current data token (a) to extend the license for the software product beyond the use period (see **new expiration date, page 9 [0087] lines 5-6**) associated with a current data token supplied by the license management server and (b) if the current data token is an exchange token from another said software controller (see **page 9 [0087] lines 15-23**);

and wherein the **license management server/Control Logic/program code** (see **license sever**)(page 2 [0020] line 5) is adapted for

- supplying via the network to the software controller a new data token, to replace the current data token and having a new use period associated therewith, in exchange for a current data token, or an exchange token corresponding to the current data token, received from the software controller (see **license renewal process in page 9 and 10 [0088] lines 12-16 also page 10 [0089] lines 14-21 also page 6 [0064] lines 11-21**), and

Art Unit: 2436

- detecting if a said token received from the software controller for exchange corresponds to a token already exchanged by the license management server (**each time the license server receives a request, the "same" license module executes to determine if the client has been previously licensed**)(see page 6 [0065] lines 4-9),

-detecting if the same data token is received twice for exchange (**see license server determines that the client already has a permanent license, therefore reissues the "same" license to the client**)(see page 9 [0083] lines 4-10),

-storing a token identifier corresponding to each data token received by the server for exchange (**see [0062] lines 10-13**), and

-comparing the token identifier for each received data token with the stored token identifiers to detect if the same data token is received twice for exchange; wherein the exchange token is a copy of the current data token (**upon receiving a license request, the request handling module stores a client identification information (being the token identifier) in an assigned license data pool. This information, along with information on what licenses are assigned to a client determines whether a client needs an update/renewal of its "same" license, issuance of a new license, or issuance of a temporary license. Upon receiving a license request, the request handling module compares information from the requesting client with information already stored in the assigned license data pool to determine the license status of the client, see paragraph [0064]**).

Bergler does not explicitly disclose an exchange token to be exchanged for a new data token to replace the current data token if the current data token is an exchange token from another said software controller.

However Kells discloses **an exchange token to be exchanged for a new data token to replace the current data token if the current data token is an exchange token from another said software controller** (after a session is set up, the credential manager will determine what the remaining lifetime of a ticket is. Just before expiration, after making such determination, the credential manager will thus preferably obtain a new token and transfer it to the server, see col.7 lines 55-63).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Kells in Bergler for including the above limitation because one ordinary skill in the art would recognize it would make it more user-friendly for the subscribers.

As per claim 4, the combination of Bergler and Kells discloses a system as claimed in claim 3 wherein the token identifier (**Bergler: see paragraph [0064]**) for a data token comprises that data token.

Art Unit: 2436

As per claim 5, the combination of Bergler and Kells discloses a system as claimed in claim 1 wherein the system is adapted such that the use periods associated with alternate data tokens **(Bergler: see temporary license, "same licenses and new licenses)(page 7 [0070] lines 1-2, 6)** in a chain of data tokens received by the software controller from the license management server do not overlap **(Bergler: see for example, a 90 day period, is a reasonable period designed to allow long term management of the license server)(see page 7 [0070] lines 3-14 also page 3 [0022] lines 17-20).**

As per claim 6, the combination of Bergler and Kells discloses a system as claimed in claim 1 wherein:

an exchange period is associated with each data token; and the system is adapted such that a new data token, to replace a current data token, can be obtained by the software controller only during the exchange period associated with that current data token **(Bergler: see terminal server request permanent license from the license server during the temporary period to replace the temporary license)(see page 3 [0022] lines 13-24).**

As per claim 7, the combination of Bergler and Kells discloses a system as claimed in claim 6 wherein the use period and exchange period associated with a data token overlap **(Bergler: e.g. a "license update period" is a short predetermined period prior to the expiration date of a license, typically about 7 day period, in which the terminal server will attempt to have the license updated through the license server)(see page 8 [0081] lines 4-8 also see page 8 [0078] lines 14-20).**

As per claim 8, the combination of Bergler and Kells discloses a system as claimed in claim 1 wherein the software controller is adapted for enabling user access to said exchange token by supplying the exchange token for storage by the user **(Bergler: e.g. if the client possess a license (i.e., a current license, an expired license, or a temporary license) in its license cache) (see page 7-8 [0075] lines 7-9 also see fig.3 element 338).**

As per claim 10, the combination of Bergler and Kells discloses a system as claimed in claim 1 wherein the license management server is adapted for supplying a new data token in exchange for a received token only if the received token does not correspond

Art Unit: 2436

to a token already exchanged (**Bergler: see the operation by the license server to locate a new license**) (page 8 [0079] lines 17-29).

As per claim 11, the combination of Bergler and Kells discloses the license management server is adapted for supplying a new data token (**Bergler: new license**) in exchange for a received token before detecting if the received token corresponds to a token already exchanged (**Bergler: see page 6 [0065] lines 1-11**).

As to Claim 12 Bergler discloses a software license management system/ computer program/method in which a license to use a software product is represented by a data token (**see new license provided to client**)(page 2 [0020] line 5) the system/method comprising:

a software controller (**see terminal server**) (page 2 [0020] line 4) at a user device (**see par. [0038]**) for controlling use of a software product (**see fig2. element 228 also see software code page 1 [0008] line 4**) at the user device and a license management server (**see license sever**)(page 2 [0020] line 5) for communicating with the software controller via a data communications network ;

wherein the software controller is adapted for

- allowing said use of the software product substantially only during a use period associated with a current data token supplied to the software controller by the license management server (**e.g. terminal sever makes a license request to the license server page 2 and 3 [0022] lines 10-11 also see page 2 [0020] "expiration date" lines 11-13**),

- receiving an exchange token associated with said license (**e.g. the updated token would be received by the terminal server from the license server**)(see page 9 [0086] lines 14-16), and

- supplying one of the current data token (**new license**) and the exchange token (**update token**) via the network to the license management server to be exchanged for a new data token (a) to extend the license for the software product beyond the use period associated with a current data token supplied by the license management server and (b) if a said exchange token is received by the software controller in the absence of a current data token (**e.g. if the license server is unable to locate the "same" permanent license, it then issues a new license with a new expiration date. The new expiration date is the extended use period**)(see page 9 [0087] lines 2-6);

and wherein the license management server/control logic/program code (means) is adapted for (to):

Art Unit: 2436

-storing the use period (**see fig.3 element 316 and 318, also page 6 [0064] lines 11-21**) for each data token supplied to the software controller under the license (**see page 6 [0062] lines 10-13**), and

-supplying via the network to the software controller a new data token in exchange for a current data token, or said exchange token, received from the software controller, the new data token having a new use period which does not overlap the use period of a data token previously-supplied under the license (**see the operation by the license server to locate a new license**)(**page 8 [0079] lines 17-29**).

Bergler does not explicitly disclose an exchange token to be exchanged for a new data token to replace the current data token if the current data token is an exchange token from another said software controller and also does not explicitly disclose an exchange token to be exchanged for a new data token in the absence of a current data token.

However Kells discloses **an exchange token to be exchanged for a new data token to replace the current data token if the current data token is an exchange token from another said software controller** (after a session is set up, the credential manager will determine what the remaining lifetime of a ticket is. Just before expiration, after making such determination, the credential manager will thus preferably obtain a new token and transfer it to the server, see col.7 lines 55-63), **an exchange token to be exchanged for a new data token in the absence of a current data token** (if the system is already in an expired state, a new context and cache name will be acquired...see col.8 lines 35-45).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Kells in Bergler for including the above limitation because one ordinary skill in the art would recognize it would make it more user-friendly for the subscribers.

As to Claims **23-24, 31-32** Bergler discloses a software license management system/ computer program/method in which a license to use a software product is represented by a data token (**see new license provided to client**)(**page 2 [0020] line 5**) the system/method comprising:

a software controller/control logic/ program code (**see terminal server**) (**page 2 [0020] line 4**) for controlling use of a software product (**see fig2. element 228 also see software code page 1 [0008] line 4**) at a user device and a license management server (**see license sever**)(**page 2 [0020] line 5**) for communicating with the software controller via a data communications network ;

wherein the software controller/ control logic/ program code is (means) adapted for (to)

- allowing said use of the software product substantially only during a use period associated with a current data token supplied to the software controller by the license management server (**e.g. terminal sever makes a license request to the license**

Art Unit: 2436

server page 2 and 3 [0022] lines 10-11 also see page 2 [0020] “expiration date” lines 11-13)

-receiving an exchange token associated with said license (**e.g. the updated token would be received by the terminal server from the license server**)(see page 9 [0086] lines 14-16), and

-supplying one of the current data token (**new license**) and the exchange token (**update token**) via the network to the license management server to be exchanged for a new data token (a) to extend the license for the software product beyond the use period associated with a current data token supplied by the license management server and (b) if a said exchange token is received by the software controller in the absence of a current data token (**e.g. if the license server is unable to locate the “same” permanent license, it then issues a new license with a new expiration date. The new expiration date is the extended use period**)(see page 9 [0087] lines 2-6);

and wherein the license management server/control logic/program code (means) is adapted for (to):

-storing the use period (**see fig.3 element 316 and 318, also page 6 [0064] lines 11-21**) for each data token supplied to the software controller under the license (**see page 6 [0062] lines 10-13**), and

-supplying via the network to the software controller a new data token in exchange for a current data token, or said exchange token, received from the software controller, the new data token having a new use period which does not overlap the use period of a data token previously-supplied under the license (**see the operation by the license server to locate a new license**)(page 8 [0079] lines 17-29).

Bergler does not explicitly disclose an exchange token to be exchanged for a new data token to replace the current data token if the current data token is an exchange token from another said software controller and also does not explicitly disclose an exchange token to be exchanged for a new data token in the absence of a current data token.

However Kells discloses **an exchange token to be exchanged for a new data token to replace the current data token if the current data token is an exchange token from another said software controller** (after a session is set up, the credential manager will determine what the remaining lifetime of a ticket is. Just before expiration, after making such determination, the credential manager will thus preferably obtain a new token and transfer it to the server, see col.7 lines 55-63), **an exchange token to be exchanged for a new data token in the absence of a current data token** (if the system is already in an expired state, a new context and cache name will be acquired...see col.8 lines 35-45).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Kells in Bergler for including the above limitation because one ordinary skill in the art would recognize it would make it more user-friendly for the subscribers.

Art Unit: 2436

As per claim 13, the combination of Bergler and Kells discloses **wherein a said data token comprises a coin** (Bergler: encrypting the license pack with a license server's public key, paragraph [0035]).

As per claim 14, the combination of Bergler and Kells discloses a system as claimed in claim 12 wherein the use period associated with a data token is indicated in the data token **(Bergler: see page 8, lines 23-27)**.

As per claim 15, the combination of Bergler and Kells discloses a system as claimed in claim 12 wherein the software controller is adapted for supplying one of the current data token and the exchange token automatically to the license management server to extend the license for the software product **(Bergler: e.g. the terminal server automatically tries to update the client's permanent license through the license server, see page 9 [0082] lines 11-13 also see the terminal server automatically requests an update for the client from the license server, page 9 [0083] lines 1-3)**.

As per claim 16, the combination of Bergler and Kells discloses a system as claimed in claim 12 wherein:
an exchange period is associated with each data token; and the system is adapted such that a new data token, to replace a current data token, can be obtained by the software controller only during the exchange period associated with that current data token **(Bergler: see the third scenario for a permanent license that is within the "license update period") (page 9 [0082] lines 1-13)**.

As per claim 17, the combination of Bergler and Kells discloses a system as claimed in claim 16 wherein the exchange period associated with a data token is indicated in the data token **(Bergler: e.g. see update "same" license, page 9 [0083] lines 9-13)**.

As per claim 18, the combination of Bergler and Kells discloses a system as claimed in claim 12 wherein:
a said data token represents a license to use a plurality of software products **(Bergler: see "site" license, page 1 [0007] lines 1-3)**; and the software controller is adapted for storing product data, indicative of said plurality of software products, at a back-up storage location, and allowing use of each of the software products substantially only during the use period associated with the current data token supplied by the license management server **(Bergler: e.g. terminal sever makes a license request to the license server page 2 and 3 [0022] lines 10-11 also see page 2 [0020] "expiration date" lines 11-13)**.

Art Unit: 2436

As per claim **20**, the combination of Bergler and Kells discloses a system as claimed in claim 18 wherein the product data comprises the software products (**Bergler: see program data, fig.2 element 232 also program modules, fig.2 element 230**).

As to Claim **21**, **Bergler discloses** a software controller for use in a software license management system in which a license to use a software product is represented by a data token, the system having a license management server for communicating with the software controller via a data communications network, wherein the software controller comprises control logic for controlling use of a software product (**see fig.2. element 228 also see software code page 1 [0008] line 4 and program modules include programs, page 4 [0045] lines 4-5 and fig.2 element 230 and “software product” page 1 [0005] lines 3-4**) at a user device (**see license sever**)(page 2 [0020] line 5), the control logic being adapted for:

- allowing said use of the software product substantially only during a use period (**expiration date, page 2 [0020] line 11**) associated with a current data token supplied to the software controller by the license management server (**e.g. terminal sever makes a license request to the license server page 2 and 3 [0022] lines 10-11 also see page 2 [0020] “expiration date” lines 11-13**)
 - enabling user access to an exchange token, dependent on the current data token (**see client’s expired or “same” permanent license, page 9 [0086] lines 8-9**) supplied by the license management server, whereby the exchange token (**see updated “same” license, page 9 [0086]**) can be supplied as a current data token to another said software controller (**see page 9 [0084] lines 8-22, also see [0086] lines 1-16**) and
 - supplying one of the current data token (**see “same” permanent license page 9 [0087] line 2**) and the exchange token via the network to the license management server to be exchanged for a new data token (**new license, page 9 [0087] line 5**) to replace the current data token (a) to extend the license for the software product beyond the use period (**see new expiration date, page 9 [0087] lines 5-6**) associated with a current data token supplied by the license management server and (b) if the current data token is an exchange token from another said software controller (**see page 9 [0087] lines 15-23**);
wherein said use of the software product is not allowed if the current data token is an exchange token (**the license server determines if the client’s permanent license expired. If the client’s permanent license has expired, no license is issued to the client and the client is denied access to the terminal server, see paragraph [0087]**).
- Bergler does not explicitly disclose an exchange token to be exchanged for a new data token to replace the current data token if the current data token is an exchange token from another said software controller and also does not explicitly disclose an exchange token to be exchanged for a new data token in the absence of a current data token.

Art Unit: 2436

However Kells discloses **an exchange token to be exchanged for a new data token to replace the current data token if the current data token is an exchange token from another said software controller** (after a session is set up, the credential manager will determine what the remaining lifetime of a ticket is. Just before expiration, after making such determination, the credential manager will thus preferably obtain a new token and transfer it to the server, see col.7 lines 55-63).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Kells in Bergler for including the above limitation because one ordinary skill in the art would recognize it would make it more user-friendly for the subscribers.

As to Claim 25, **Bergler discloses** a computer program product stored on a computer readable medium, comprising computer readable program means for causing a computer to perform a computer program for controlling use of a software product (**see fig2. element 228 also see software code page 1 [0008] line 4 and program modules include programs, page 4 [0045] lines 4-5 and fig.2 element 230 and “software product” page 1 [0005] lines 3-4**) at a user device (**see license sever)(page 2 [0020] line 5**) in accordance with a license represented by a data token, the user device being connectable to a license management server via a data communication network, the computer program comprising program code means adapted to:

- allowing said use of the software product substantially only during a use period (**expiration date, page 2 [0020] line 11**) associated with a current data token supplied to the software controller by the license management server (**e.g. terminal sever makes a license request to the license server page 2 and 3 [0022] lines 10-11 also see page 2 [0020] “expiration date” lines 11-13**)
- enabling user access to an exchange token, dependent on the current data token (**see client’s expired or “same” permanent license, page 9 [0086] lines 8-9**) supplied by the license management server, whereby the exchange token (**see update “same” license, page 9 [0086] line 14**) can be supplied as a current data token to another said software controller (**see page 9 [0084] lines 8-22, also see [0086] lines 1-16**) and
- supplying one of the current data token (**see “same” permanent license page 9 [0087] line 2**) and the exchange token via the network to the license management server to be exchanged for a new data token (**new license, page 9 [0087] line 5**) to replace the current data token (a) to extend the license for the software product beyond the use period (**see new expiration date, page 9 [0087] lines 5-6**) associated with a current data token supplied by the license management server and (b) if the current data token is an exchange token from another said software controller (**see page 9 [0087] lines 15-23**);

Art Unit: 2436

wherein said use of the software product is not allowed if the current data token is an exchange token **(the license server determines if the client's permanent license expired. If the client's permanent license has expired, no license is issued to the client and the client is denied access to the terminal server, see paragraph [0087]).**

Bergler does not explicitly disclose an exchange token to be exchanged for a new data token to replace the current data token if the current data token is an exchange token from another said software controller and also does not explicitly disclose an exchange token to be exchanged for a new data token in the absence of a current data token.

However Kells discloses **an exchange token to be exchanged for a new data token to replace the current data token if the current data token is an exchange token from another said software controller** (after a session is set up, the credential manager will determine what the remaining lifetime of a ticket is. Just before expiration, after making such determination, the credential manager will thus preferably obtain a new token and transfer it to the server, see col.7 lines 55-63).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Kells in Bergler for including the above limitation because one ordinary skill in the art would recognize it would make it more user-friendly for the subscribers.

As to Claim **26**, the combination of Bergler and Kells discloses a computer program product stored on a computer readable medium, comprising computer readable program means for causing a computer to perform a computer program for use in a license management server of a software license management system in which a license to use a software product is represented by a data token, the system including a software controller as claimed in claim 21 and the license management server being adapted for communicating with the software controller via a data communications network, wherein the computer program comprises program code means adapted to cause the license management server to:

- supply via the network to the software controller a new data token, to replace the current data token and having a new use period associated therewith, in exchange for a current data token, or an exchange token corresponding to the current data token, received from the software controller **(Bergler: see license renewal process in page 9 and 10 [0088] lines 12-16 also page 10 [0089] lines 14-21 also page 6 [0064] lines 11-21), and**

- detect if a said token received from the software controller for exchange corresponds to a token already exchanged by the license management server **(Bergler: each time the license server receives a request, the "same" license module executes to determine if the client has been previously licensed)(see page 6 [0065] lines 4-9).**

Art Unit: 2436

As to claim **27**, Bergler discloses a computer program product stored on a computer readable medium, comprising computer readable program means for causing a computer to perform a computer program for controlling use of a software product at a user device in accordance with a license represented by a data token, the user device being connectable to a license management server via a data communications network, the computer program comprising program code means adapted to:

- allow said use of the software product substantially only during a use period associated with a current data token supplied to the software controller by the license management server **(e.g. terminal sever makes a license request to the license server page 2 and 3 [0022] lines 10-11 also see page 2 [0020] “expiration date” lines 11-13)**

- receive an exchange token associated with said license **(e.g. the updated token would be received by the terminal server from the license server)(see page 9 [0086] lines 14-16)**, and

- supply one of the current data token **(new license)** and the exchange token **(update token)** via the network to the license management server to be exchanged for a new data token (a) to extend the license for the software product beyond the use period associated with a current data token supplied by the license management server and (b) if a said exchange token is received by the software controller in the absence of a current data token **(e.g. if the license server is unable to locate the “same” permanent license, it then issues a new license with a new expiration date. The new expiration date is the extended use period)(see page 9 [0087] lines 2-6).**

Bergler does not explicitly disclose an exchange token to be exchanged for a new data token to replace the current data token if the current data token is an exchange token from another said software controller and also does not explicitly disclose an exchange token to be exchanged for a new data token in the absence of a current data token.

However Kells discloses **an exchange token to be exchanged for a new data token to replace the current data token if the current data token is an exchange token from another said software controller** (after a session is set up, the credential manager will determine what the remaining lifetime of a ticket is. Just before expiration, after making such determination, the credential manager will thus preferably obtain a new token and transfer it to the server, see col.7 lines 55-63), **an exchange token to be exchanged for a new data token in the absence of a current data token** (if the system is already in an expired state, a new context and cache name will be acquired...see col.8 lines 35-45).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Kells in Bergler for including the above limitation because one ordinary skill in the art would recognize it would make it more user-friendly for the subscribers.

Art Unit: 2436

As to claim **28**, the combination of Bergler and Kells discloses a computer program product stored on a computer readable medium, comprising computer readable program means for causing a computer to perform a computer program for use in a license management server of a software license management system in which a license to use a software product is represented by a data token, the system including a software controller as claimed in claim 23 and the license management server being adapted for communicating with the software controller via a data communication network, wherein the computer program comprises program code means adapted to cause the license management server to:

- store the use period (**Bergler: see fig.3 element 316 and 318, also page 6 [0064] lines 11-21**) for each data token supplied to the software controller under the license (**Bergler: see page 6 [0062] lines 10-13**), and
- supply via the network to the software controller a new data token in exchange for a current data token, or said exchange token, received from the software controller, the new data token having a new use period which does not overlap the use period of a data token previously-supplied under the license (**Bergler: see the operation by the license server to locate a new license**)(page 8 [0079] lines 17-29).

As to claim **29**, Bergler discloses a method for controlling use of a software product (**see fig2. element 228 also see software code page 1 [0008] line 4 and program modules include programs, page 4 [0045] lines 4-5 and fig.2 element 230 and “software product” page 1 [0005] lines 3-4**) at a user device being connectable to a license management server via a data communication network, wherein the method comprises, at the user device:

- allowing said use of the software product substantially only during a use period (**expiration date, page 2 [0020] line 11**) associated with a current data token supplied to the user device by the license management server (**e.g. terminal sever makes a license request to the license server page 2 and 3 [0022] lines 10-11 also see page 2 [0020] “expiration date” lines 11-13**);
- enabling user access to an exchange token, dependent on the current data token (**see client’s expired or “same” permanent license, page 9 [0086] lines 8-9**) supplied by the license management server, whereby the exchange token (**see update “same” license, page 9 [0086] line 14**) can be supplied as a current data token to another user device (**see page 9 [0084] lines 8-22, also see [0086] lines 1-16**) and
- supplying one of the current data token (**see “same” permanent license page 9 [0087] line 2**) and the exchange token via the network to the license management server to be exchanged for a new data token (**new license, page 9 [0087] line 5**) to replace the current data token (a) to extend the license for the software product beyond the use period (**see new expiration date, page 9 [0087] lines 5-6**) associated with a

Art Unit: 2436

current data token supplied by the license management server and (b) if the current data token is an exchange token from another user device **(see page 9 [0087] lines 15-23)**;

wherein said use of the software product is not allowed if the current data token is an exchange token **(the license server determines if the client's permanent license expired. If the client's permanent license has expired, no license is issued to the client and the client is denied access to the terminal server, see paragraph [0087])**.

Bergler does not explicitly disclose an exchange token to be exchanged for a new data token to replace the current data token if the current data token is an exchange token from another said software controller and also does not explicitly disclose an exchange token to be exchanged for a new data token in the absence of a current data token.

However Kells discloses **an exchange token to be exchanged for a new data token to replace the current data token if the current data token is an exchange token from another said software controller** (after a session is set up, the credential manager will determine what the remaining lifetime of a ticket is. Just before expiration, after making such determination, the credential manager will thus preferably obtain a new token and transfer it to the server, see col.7 lines 55-63).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Kells in Bergler for including the above limitation because one ordinary skill in the art would recognize it would make it more user-friendly for the subscribers.

As per claim 34, the combination of Bergler and Kells discloses wherein the license management server is adapted for:

- receiving via the network from the software controller a new data token, to replace the current data token and having a new use period associated therewith, in exchange for a current data token, or an exchange token corresponding to the current data token **(Bergler: see license renewal process in page 9 and 10 [0088] lines 12-16 also page 10 [0089] lines 14-21 also page 6 [0064] lines 11-21)**, and

- detecting if a said token received from the software controller for exchange corresponds to a token already exchanged by the license management server **(Bergler: each time the license server receives a request, the "same" license module executes to determine if the client has been previously licensed)(see page 6 [0065] lines 4-9)**,

-detecting if the same data token is received twice for exchange **(Bergler: see license server determines that the client already has a permanent license, therefore reissues the "same" license to the client)(see page 9 [0083] lines 4-10)**,

Art Unit: 2436

-storing a token identifier corresponding to each data token received by the server for exchange (**Bergler: see [0062] lines 10-13**), and

-comparing the token identifier for each received data token with the stored token identifiers to detect if the same data token is received twice for exchange; wherein the exchange token is a copy of the current data token (**Bergler: upon receiving a license request, the request handling module stores a client identification information (being the token identifier) in an assigned license data pool. This information, along with information on what licenses are assigned to a client determines whether a client needs an update/renewal of its "same" license, issuance of a new license, or issuance of a temporary license. Upon receiving a license request, the request handling module compares information from the requesting client with information already stored in the assigned license data pool to determine the license status of the client, see paragraph [0064]).**

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bergler et al (pub NO. 2002/0194010 A1) in view of Kells et al (Pat. No. US 5764887) and further in view of Satkunanathan et al (Pub. No. 2005/0114266).

As per claim 9, Bergler discloses a system as claimed in claim 1 wherein the software controller is adapted for enabling user access to said exchange token (see page 9 [0086] lines 1-16) but Bergler does not disclose a back-up storage location and supplying access data, for accessing the exchange token at said storage location, to the user. **However** Satkunanathan **discloses a back up storage of license and enabling the user to easily access the license (see page 8, [0072] lines 5-13)**. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use Bergler's invention in the combination of Bergler and Kells for placing a storage area on the software controller for easy access of user to the license.

Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bergler et al (pub NO. 2002/0194010 A1) in view of Kells et al (Pat. No. US 5764887) and further in view of Padole et al (pub. No. 2002/0174356).

As per claim 19, Bergler does not disclose a system as claimed in claim 18 wherein the product data comprises, for each software product, data representing an individual license for that software product. **However Padole discloses an individual license for a software product. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in**

Art Unit: 2436

the art to use Padole in the combination of Bergler and Kells for having an individual license for a software product to provide a unique license for each product, thereby preventing illegal copy violation (see page 1 [0005] lines 6-7).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure (see PTO-form 892).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to GHAZAL SHEHNI whose telephone number is (571)270-7479. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nasser Moazzami can be reached on 571-272-4195. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2436

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/GHAZAL SHEHNI/
Examiner, Art Unit 2436

/Nasser Moazzami/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2436